United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,577	12/22/2003	Matt Sveum	29020/314A	7985
	7590 12/20/2006 GHT & ZIMMERMAN, I	EXAMINER		
150 S. WACKER DRIVE			LUPINO, GINA M	
SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 6	50606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincingo, in			3652	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/743,577	SVEUM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gina M. Lupino	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ine 2006	•				
	action is non-final.					
<u>/_</u>		rosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	passo quay.o,					
	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17 and 26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•••				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

I. Election of Species

 Claims 1-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected vehicle brace for a vehicle, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on

September 1, 2006.

2. Claim 26 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

drawn to a nonelected loading dock, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on

September 1, 2006.

3. Applicant's election with traverse of a method of operating a vehicle brace in the reply filed on

September 1, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction

requirement between Inventions I and II was improper.

4. This is not found persuasive because Invention I is drawn to a vehicle brace for a vehicle,

classified in class 414 subclass 401, whereas Invention II is drawn to a method of operating

a vehicle brace, classified in class 414, subclass 809.

5. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

6. Applicant's election of claims 18-25 in the reply filed on September 1, 2006 is acknowledged.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,577 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

1. Claims 18-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by HAGEMAN (U.S. Patent No. 4,784,567).

- 1.1. With respect to claim 18, HAGEMAN discloses a method of operating a vehicle brace in response to downward movement of a vehicle's rear edge, where the vehicle brace is attached to a loading dock, the method comprising appreciably slowing the descent of the vehicle's rear edge by having the vehicle brace exert a reactive force upward against the vehicle's rear edge.
- 1.2. With respect to claims 19-25, HAGEMAN discloses the method discussed above, and
 - 1.2(a) With respect to claim 19, further comprising increasing the reactive force in response to increasing the descent or the vehicle's rear edge.
 - 1.2(b) With respect to claim 20, further comprising moving a portion of the vehicle brace horizontally to accommodate horizontal movement of he vehicle's rear edge.
 - 1.2(c) With respect to claim 21, where the step of appreciably swinging the descent of the vehicle's rear edge is carried out by forcing a fluid through a flow restriction.
 - 1.2(d) With respect to claim 22, further comprising sensing when the vehicle is about to be loaded or unloaded.
 - 1.2(e) With respect to claim 23, where the step of appreciably slowing the descent to the vehicle's rear edge is carried out by applying frictional drag.
 - 1.2(f) With respect to claim 25, further comprising raising a vehicle-restraining member 38 to limit horizontal movement of the vehicle.
- 2. Claims 18, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAHN (U.S. Patent No. 6,106,212).
 - 2.1. With respect to claim 18, HAHN discloses a method of operating a vehicle brace in response to downward movement of a vehicle's rear edge, where the vehicle brace is attached to a loading dock, the method comprising appreciably slowing the descent of the

Application/Control Number: 10/743,577

Art Unit: 3652

vehicle's rear edge by having the vehicle brace exert a reactive force upward against the

vehicle's rear edge.

2.2. With respect to claim 24, HAHN teaches a method of operating a vehicle restraint, as

discussed above, where the step of appreciably slowing the descent of the vehicle's rear

edge is accomplished by storing energy in a spring.

III. Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Gina M. Lupino whose telephone number is (571) 272-6557. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm EST.

3. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

5. GML

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600